



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,917	01/14/2002	Thad Kroon	34250-1213	2503
7590 Malvern U. Griffin III SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3996			EXAMINER POINVIL, FRANTZY	
			ART UNIT 3696	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/09/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/047,917

Applicant(s)

KROON ET AL.

Examiner

Frantzy Poinvil

Art Unit

3696

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frantzy Poinvil.(3) Chris Chan.(2) Mark Jones.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Templeton et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives provide a clear description of their invention and argue that Templeton et al do not teach or suggest their claimed invention by stating that Templeton et al only use one scoring algorithm.. The Examiner disagree and suggest applicant's representative to introduce language that a plurality of scoring models and scoring engines are used in overturning a decline in order to overcome Templeton et al..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Frantzy Poinvil/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696